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PREFACE

This report contains the findings of a water quality survey of Lovell Lake, New Hampshire, conducted in the summer of 1990 by the Freshwater Biology Group (FBG) of the University of New Hampshire and the Lovell Lake Association.

The report is written with the concerned lake resident in mind and contains a brief, non-technical summary of 1990 results as well as more detailed "Introduction" and "Discussion" sections. Graphic display of data is included, in addition to listings of data in appendices, to aid visual perspective.
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LOVELL LAKE
1990 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Monitoring was undertaken at Lovell Lake by the volunteer monitors from May 27 to September 30. An in-depth analysis of Lovell Lake was conducted on July 25 by the FBG.

1) Water transparency at Lovell Lake was high, the sign of a clear and unproductive lake. The secchi disk was visible as far down as 8.0 meters (26 feet). The transparency averages were 6.1 for both site 1 North and site 2 South. This indicates the deepwater sites on the lake are low in dissolved color and suspended matter such as algae and particulates. Transparency averages in 1990 were similar (i.e. the lake is as clear) to the 1989 averages.

2) Chlorophyll a concentrations for the surface waters of Lovell Lake were low to moderate. Chlorophyll levels indicate the extent of algae growth in the water. Concentrations in the mixed layer of water averaged 2.9 milligrams per cubic meter (mg m$^{-3}$, equivalent to about 2.9 parts chlorophyll per billion parts water). Generally, concentrations below 3 mg m$^{-3}$ are common to less productive, clear lakes and values above 7 mg m$^{-3}$ are common in productive lakes. Thus the lake would be classified as borderline oligotrophic, approaching mesotrophic conditions. The 1990 average chlorophyll were the same as the 1989 average.

3) Dissolved lakewater color levels for Lovell Lake in 1990 were very low and considerably less than the average levels of 28 ptu (platinate color units) in other program lakes. Small increases in water color from the natural breakdown of plant materials in and around a lake are not considered to be detrimental to water quality. However, increased color can lower water transparency, and hence, change the public perception of water quality.
4) Total phosphorus (nutrient) levels were low at the deep and beach sites. The deeper waters displayed no great accumulation of phosphorus as summer progressed. All samples were in the range of 1.1 to 7.5 parts per billion (ppb) phosphorus. A concentration of the 15 ppb is commonly thought of as the boundary between less productive and more productive lakes.

5) The pH of the surface waters of the lake, measured by the FBG and the volunteer monitors, remains within the optimum range for most aquatic organisms. The alkalinity of the lake is low, but about 3 units higher than the average alkalinity of 6 units for LLMP program lakes. The pH and alkalinity data indicate that Lovell Lake seems to have a low, but sufficient, buffering capacity at this time to resist fluctuations in pH due to acid loadings.

6) The specific conductivity of the deep sites on Lovell Lake was moderate. High conductivity values can indicate the presence of septic leachate or deicing salt runoff.

7) In-depth analysis at the deep sites disclosed the typical temperature stratification patterns for northern temperate lakes. With the depth of the upper mixed layer of water extending to 7.0 meters by late summer. Oxygen content of the bottom waters remained above 5 milligrams per liter, the minimum concentration required for successful reproduction and growth of most coldwater fish, to the lake-bottom of site 1 North, but only to about 8.5 meters at site 2 South. Low oxygen in the bottom waters suggests accumulation of organic matter from lake algal production and possibly watershed run-off.

8) For all measurements considered and averaged for the season, Lovell Lake would be classified as having low productivity, a clear, oligotrophic lake. However, most tests indicated that Lovell Lake is approaching a more moderate productive
condition, mesotrophy. This is particularly true for the southern lake site. Further monitoring should discern the rate that this change is occurring.

9) Comparisons between lay monitor and FBG data indicate that the volunteer monitors of Lovell Lake are doing an excellent job of measuring water quality at all stations.

10) Lovell Lake monitors also sampled the Town Beach area for conductivity and bacteria. Conductivity readings were generally not significantly different from deep lake values and bacteria levels were below detection limits on the dates sampled. Monitors will continue to collect samples as a service to the Town in 1991.
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1) We recommend that each association, including the Lovell Lake Association continue to develop their data base on lake water quality through continuation of the long term monitoring program. The data base will provide information on the short and long-term cyclic variability that occurs in the lake and eventually will enable more reliable predictions of water quality trends.

2) Since boat traffic on the lake is a concern for some, we recommend that the monitors initiate a boat effect study. All that would be required is sampling in the morning and then the same day late in the afternoon on a "quiet day" followed by the same sampling approach on a day of heavy boat traffic. A discount for sample processing will be offered to try to minimize costs of additional testing. Contact the LLMP coordinator for further information.

3) As a general addition to our Lakes Lay Monitoring Program, we recommend that each lake in the program begin monitoring the condition of the fish taken from the lake. The "Fish Monitoring" will require at least one lay monitor to record the species, length and weight and collect a sample of fish scales for each fish examined. In most lakes this will involve periodic creel census of sport fishermen on the lake.

Length-to-weight ratios give a measure of the nutritional condition of the fish. Age analysis of the fish scales (to be done at UNH) will tell how old each fish is. Together, these variables can help to track changes in the condition of the fish populations in the lake, and, of course, the "health" of the lake.

This study is currently being partially underwritten by the NH Fish and Game Department. As future funding is not guaranteed, participants should take advantage of this service while there is no processing charge.
4) A shoreline and watershed survey should be conducted by the Lovell Lake monitors to provide a baseline of current development and to locate any problem areas within the lake watershed. Contact the NH LLMP coordinator for further information.
INTRODUCTION

The New Hampshire Lakes Lay Monitoring Program

In this thirteenth year of operation, the NH Lakes Lay Monitoring Program has grown from a university class project on Chocorua Lake and pilot study on the Squam Lakes to a comprehensive state-wide program with over 500 volunteer monitors and more than 100 lakes participating. Originally developed to establish a data-base for determining long-term trends of lake water quality for science and management, the program has expanded by taking advantage of the many resources that citizen monitors can provide. The NH LLMP has an international reputation as a successful cooperative monitoring, education and research program. Current projects include: use of volunteer generated data for non-point pollution studies using high tech analysis system (Geographic Information Systems and Satellite Remote Sensing), intensive watershed monitoring for the development of lake nutrient budgets, and investigations of water quality and indicator organisms (food web analysis, fish condition, and stream invertebrates). The key ingredients responsible for the success of the program include innovative funding and cost reduction, assurance of credible data, practical sampling protocols and, most importantly, the interest and motivation of our volunteer monitors.

The NH LLMP was awarded the Robert Rodale Environmental Achievement Award from Renew America, a non-profit clearinghouse that promotes models of environmental initiative and dedication through its "Searching for Success - Environmental Success index" (ESI). As one of the programs that made the index under the Clean Water category, the NH LLMP stood up to a thorough screening and evaluation process that took into consideration program effectiveness, program replicability, economic feasibility and sustainability, and meeting of community needs.
In 1990 the NH LLMP was highlighted in an Environmental Protection Agency publication on volunteer monitoring as an example of a citizen based program collecting high quality data useful in the protection and management of New Hampshire Lakes. In the national newsletter "The Volunteer Monitor" the NH LLMP "home-made" equipment plans were published so other monitoring groups could benefit from our experience.

**Importance of Long-term Monitoring**

A major goal of a monitoring program is to identify any short or long-term changes in the water quality of the lake. Of major concern is the detection of cultural eutrophication: increases in the productivity of the lake, the amount of algae and plant growth, due to the addition of nutrients from human activities. Changes in the natural buffering capacity of the lakes in the program is also a topic of great concern, as New Hampshire receives large amounts of acid precipitation, yet most of our lakes contain little mineral content to neutralize this type of pollution.

For over a decade, data collected weekly from lakes participating in the New Hampshire Lakes Lay Monitoring Program have indicated there is quite a variation in water quality indicators through the open water season on the majority of lakes. Short-term differences may be due to variations in weather, lake use, or other chance events. Monthly sampling of a lake during a single summer provides some useful information, but there is a greater chance that important short-term events such as algal blooms or the lake response to storm run-off will be missed. These short-term fluctuations may be unrelated to the actual long-term trend of a lake or they may be indicative of the changing status or "health" of a lake.

To determine if a change in water quality is occurring, a lake must be sampled on a frequent basis over a substantial amount of time. A poorly designed sampling program may even mislead the investigator away from the actual trend: Consider the hypothetical lake in
Figure 1. Sampling only once a year during August from 1982 to 1986 would produce a plot (Fig. 2) suggesting a decrease in eutrophication. The actual long-term trend of the lake, increasing eutrophy, can only be clearly discerned by sampling additional times a year for a ten year period (Fig. 1). Frequent monitoring carried out over the course of many summers can provide the information required to distinguish between short-term fluctuation ("noise") and long-term trends ("signal"). To that end, the lake must establish a long-term data base.

The number of seasons it takes to distinguish between the noise and the signal is not the same for each lake. Evaluation and interpretation of a long-term data base will indicate that the water quality of the lake has worsened, improved, or remained the same. In addition, different areas of a lake may show a different response. As more data is collected, prediction of current and future trends can be made. No matter what the outcome, this information is essential for the intelligent management of the lake.

There are also short-term uses for lay monitoring data. The examination of different stations in a lake can disclose the location of specific problems and corrective action can be initiated to handle the situation before it becomes more serious. On a lighter note, some associations post their weekly data for use in determining the best depths for finding fish!

It takes a considerable amount of effort as well as a deep concern for one's lake to be a lay monitor in the NH Lakes Lay Monitoring Program. Many times a monitor has to brave inclement weather or heavy boat traffic to collect samples. Sometimes it even may seem that one week's data is just the same as the next. Yet every sampling provides important information on the variability of the lake.

We are pleased with the interest and commitment of our lay monitors and are proud that their work is what makes the NH LLMP the most extensive, and we believe, the best volunteer program of its kind.
Purpose and Scope of This Study

This was the second year that monitoring of Lovell Lake was undertaken by the Freshwater Biology Group and the Lovell Lake Association. The program of sampling was designed to continue adding data to the long-term data base established. Sampling emphasis was placed on two open water deep stations and the shore areas near the town beach. A more in-depth study of the deep lake sites and the shallow beach site was undertaken by the FBG in late July.

The primary purpose of this report is to discuss results of the 1990 monitoring with emphasis on current conditions of Lovell Lake including the extent of eutrophication and the lake's susceptibility to increasing acid precipitation. This information is part of a large data base of historical and more recent data compiled and entered onto computer files for New Hampshire lakes that include New Hampshire Fish and Game surveys of the 1930's, the surveys by the New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission and the FBG surveys. Care must be taken when comparing current results with early studies. Many complications arise due to methodological differences of the various testing facilities and technological improvements in testing.
DISCUSSION OF LAKE MONITORING MEASUREMENTS

The section below details the important concepts involved for the various testing procedures used in the New Hampshire Lakes Lay Monitoring Program. Where appropriate, summary statistics of 1990 results from all participating lakes are included. Certain tests or sampling performed at the time of the Freshwater Biology Group field trip are indicated by an asterisk (*).

**Thermal Stratification in the Deep Water Sites**

Lakes in New Hampshire display distinct patterns of temperature stratification, that develop as the summer months progress, where a layer of warmer water (the *epilimnion*) overlies a deeper layer of cold water (hypolimnion). The layer that separates the two regions characterized by a sharp drop in temperature with depth is called the *thermocline* or *metalimnion*. Some shallow lakes may be continually mixed by wind action and will never stratify. Other lakes may only contain a developed epilimnion and metalimnion.

Lovell Lake is shallow and remained only partially stratified when the weather was calm.

**Water Transparencies**

Secchi Disk depth is a measure of the water transparency. The deeper the depth of secchi disk disappearance, the more transparent the lake water; light penetrates deeper if there is little dissolved and/or particulate matter (which includes both living and non-living particles) to absorb and scatter it.

In the shallow areas of many lakes, the secchi disk will hit bottom before it is able to disappear from view (what is referred to as a "Bottom Out" condition). Thus, Secchi disk measurements are generally taken over the deepest sites of a lake. Transparency values of greater than 4 meters are typical of clear, less productive lakes. Values less than 2.5
meters are generally an indication of a very productive lake. In 1990 the average transparency for lakes participating in the NH LLMP was 6.1 meters with a range of 1.8 to 13.7 meters.

**Chlorophyll a**

The chlorophyll a concentration is a measurement of the standing crop of phytoplankton and is often used to classify lakes into categories of productivity called trophic states. **Eutrophic** lakes are highly productive with large concentrations of algae and aquatic plants due to nutrient enrichment. Characteristics include accumulated organic matter in the lake basin and lower dissolved oxygen in the bottom waters. Summer chlorophyll a concentrations average above 7 mg m\(^{-3}\) (7 milligrams per cubic meter; 7 parts per billion). **Oligotrophic** lakes have low productivity and low nutrient levels and average summer chlorophyll a concentrations are generally less than 3 mg m\(^{-3}\). These lakes generally have cleaner bottoms and high dissolved oxygen levels throughout. **Mesotrophic** lakes are intermediate in productivity with concentrations of chlorophyll a generally between 3 mg m\(^{-3}\) and 7 mg m\(^{-3}\). In 1990 the average chlorophyll for lakes participating in the NH LLMP was 2.8 mg m\(^{-3}\) with a range of 0.4 to 42.1 mg m\(^{-3}\).

Chlorophyll a of the upper mixed water layer (epilimnion) of Lovell Lake averaged 3.2 (range 1.3 to 15.6 mg m\(^{-3}\)) at site 1 North and 2.7 (range .7 to 5.5 mg m\(^{-3}\)) at site 2 South. Lovell Lake chlorophyll levels in the upper mixed water layer reached more productive levels (above 3 units) in early July and again in mid to late September at both deep sites (see Figure). The algal bloom occurring in September is most likely the result of the initiation of fall mixis (See appendix C) when nutrients from the lower waters begin to mix with the upper waters.

Testing is sometimes done to check for **metalmnetic algal populations**, algae that layer out at the thermocline and generally go undetected if only epilimnetic (point or inte-
grated) sampling is undertaken. Chlorophyll concentrations of a water sample collected in the thermocline is compared to the integrated epilimnetic sample. Greater chlorophyll levels of the point sample, in conjunction with microscopic examination of the samples (see Phytoplankton section below), confirm the presence of such a population of algae.

FBG samples Collected in Lovell Lake, on July 25, detected no such populations at site 1 North. However, metalimnetic chlorophyll levels at site 2 South were almost three times greater than surface chlorophyll levels at the site which is indicative of algal layering. Mid lake chlorophyll levels reached 6.6 mg m$^{-3}$ at the south site.

**Dissolved Color**

The dissolved color of lakes is generally due to dissolved organic matter from humic substances, which are naturally-occurring polyphenolic compounds leached from decayed vegetation. Highly colored or "stained" lakes, have a "tea" color. Such substances generally do not threaten water quality except as they diminish sunlight penetration into deep waters. Increases in dissolved water color can be an indication of increased development within the watershed as many land clearing activities (construction, deforestation, and the resulting increased run-off) add additional organic material to lakes. Natural fluctuations of dissolved color occur when storm events increase drainage from wetlands areas within the watershed. As suspended sediment is a difficult and expensive test to undertake, both dissolved color and chlorophyll information is important when interpreting the secchi disk transparency.

Dissolved color is measured on a comparative scale that uses standard chlorопlatinate dyes and is designated as a color unit or ptu. Lakes with color below 10 ptu are very clear, 10 to 20 ptu are slightly colored, 20 to 40 ptu are lightly tea colored, 40 to 80 ptu are tea colored and greater than 80 ptu indicates highly colored waters. Generally the majority of New Hampshire lakes have color between 20 to 30 ptu.
Lovell Lake color levels were low, 11.8 ptu, in 1990 and considerably less than the average of 28 ptu for other program lakes.

**Total Phosphorus**

Of the two "nutrients" most important to the growth of aquatic plants, nitrogen and phosphorus, it is generally observed that phosphorus is the more limiting to plant growth, and therefore the more important to monitor and control. Phosphorus is generally present in lower concentrations, and its sources arise primarily through human related activity in a watershed. Nitrogen can be fixed from the atmosphere by many bloom-forming blue-green bacteria, and thus it is difficult to control. The total phosphorus includes all dissolved phosphorus as well as phosphorus contained in or adhered to suspended particulates such as sediment and plankton. As little as 15 parts per billion of phosphorus in a lake can cause an algal bloom.

Generally, in the more pristine lakes, phosphorus values are higher after spring melt when the lake receives the majority of runoff from its surrounding watershed. The nutrient is used by the algae and plants which in turn die and sink to the lake bottom causing phosphorus to decrease as the summer progresses. Lakes with nutrient loading from human activities and sources (Agriculture, Sediment Erosion, Septic Systems, etc) will show greater concentrations of nutrients as the summer progresses or after major storm events. Circulation of nutrients from the bottom waters of more productive lakes in late fall can result in algal blooms.

Upper lake phosphorus concentrations at Lovell Lake were below the 15 ppb level. The deeper waters showed no great accumulation of phosphorus when sampled in late July.

**pH** *

The pH is a way of expressing the acidic level of lake water, and is generally measured with an electrical probe sensitive to hydrogen ion activity. The pH scale has a range
of 1 (very acidic) to 14 (very "basic" or alkaline) and is logarithmic (i.e., changes in 1 pH unit reflect a ten times difference in hydrogen ion concentration). Most aquatic organisms tolerate a limited range of pH and most fish species require a pH of 5.5 or higher for successful growth and reproduction.

The pH of Lovell Lake, measured on July 25, was 6.3 in the upper mixed layer of water. This is well within the optimum range for most aquatic organisms.

**Alkalinity**

Alkalinity is a measure of the buffering capacity of the lake water. The higher the value the more acid that can be neutralized. Typically lakes in New Hampshire have low alkalinities due to the absence of carbonates and other natural buffering minerals in the bedrock and soils of lake watersheds.

Decreasing alkalinity over a period of a few years can have serious effects on the lake ecosystem. In a study on an experimental acidified lake in Canada by Schindler, gradual lowering of the pH from 6.8 to 5.0 in an 8-year period resulted in the disappearance of some aquatic species, an increase in nuisance species of algae and a decline in the condition and reproduction rate of fish. During the first year of Schindler's study the pH remained unchanged while the alkalinity declined to 20 percent of the pre-treatment value. The decline in alkalinity was sufficient to trigger the disappearance of zooplankton species, which in turn caused a decline in the "condition" of fish species that fed on the zooplankton.

The analysis of alkalinity employed by the **Freshwater Biology Group** includes use of a dilute titrant allowing an order of magnitude greater sensitivity and precision than the standard method. Two endpoints are recorded during each analysis. The first endpoint (grey color of dye; pH endpoint of 5.1) approximates low level alkalinity values, while the second endpoint (pink dye color; pH endpoint of 4.6) approximates the alkalinity val-
ues recorded historically, such as NH Fish and Game data, with the methyl-orange endpoint method.

The average alkalinity of lakes throughout New Hampshire is low, approximately 7 mg per liter (calcium carbonate alkalinity), while the average alkalinity of the lakes studied by the Freshwater Biology Group in the NH LLMP is approximately 6.0 mg per liter. When alkalinity falls below 2 mg per liter the pH of waters can greatly fluctuate. Alkalinity levels are most critical in the spring when acid loadings from snowmelt and run-off are high, and many aquatic species are in their early, and most susceptible, stages of their life cycle.

Average alkalinity at Lovell Lake was 10.6 mg per liter which is high for a New Hampshire Lake and greater than the average of 6 alkalinity units for NH LLMP program lakes. Lovell Lake has an adequate buffering capacity at this time to resist fluctuations in pH caused by acid rain.

**Specific Conductivity** *

The specific conductance of a water sample indicates concentrations of dissolved salts. Leaking septic systems and deicing salt runoff from highways can cause high conductivity values. Fertilizers and other pollutants can also increase the conductivity of the water. Conductivity is measured in micromhos (the opposite of the measurement of resistance ohms) per centimeter, more commonly referred to as micro-Siemans.

Lovell Lake had low to moderate conductivity values at the beach and deep sites ranging from 72.2 to 90.4 micro-Siemans at site 1 North and 66.7 to 97.1 micro-Siemans at site 2 South. Higher values were found in the surface of the lake. As the July sampling by the FBG followed a storm event, the results indicate that materials were washed into the lake from the surrounding watershed.
Dissolved Oxygen and Free Carbon Dioxide *

Oxygen is an essential component for the survival of aquatic life. Submergent plants and algae take in free carbon dioxide and create oxygen through photosynthesis by day. Respiration by both animals and plants uses up oxygen continually and creates carbon dioxide. Dissolved oxygen profiles determine the extent of declining oxygen concentrations in the lower waters. High carbon dioxide values are indicative of low oxygen conditions and accumulating organic matter. For both gases, as the temperature of the water decreases, more gas can be dissolved in the water.

The typical pattern of clear, unproductive lakes is a slight decline in hypolimnetic oxygen as the summer progresses. Oxygen in the lower waters is important for maintaining a fit, reproducing, cold water fishery. Trout and salmon generally require oxygen concentrations above 5 mg per liter (parts per million) in the cool deep waters. On the other hand, carp and catfish can survive very low oxygen conditions. Oxygen above the lake bottom is important in limiting the release of nutrients from the sediments and minimizing the collection of undecomposed organic matter.

Bacteria, fungi and other decomposers in the bottom waters break down organic matter originating from the watershed or generated by the lake. This process uses up oxygen and produces carbon dioxide. In lakes where organic matter accumulation is high, oxygen depletion can occur. In highly stratified eutrophic lakes the entire hypolimnion can remain unoxygenated or anaerobic until fall mixing occurs.

While oxygen was plentiful in the bottom waters of both sites early in the season, the bottom waters of the south site displayed significant oxygen depletion by late July. This is most likely due to the large accumulation of plant and other organic matter decomposing on the lake bottom.
Underwater Light *

Underwater light available to photosynthetic organisms is measured with an underwater photometer which is much like the light meter of a camera (only waterproofed!). The photic zone of a lake is the volume of water capable of supporting photosynthesis. It is generally considered to be delineated by the water's surface and the level where light is reduced, by the absorption and scattering properties of the lake water, to one percent of the surface intensity. The one percent depth is sometimes termed the compensation depth. Knowledge of light penetration is important when considering lake productivity and in studies of submerged vegetation. Discontinuity (abrupt changes in the slope) of the profiles could be due to metalimnetic layering of algae or other particulates (discussed above). The underwater photometer allows the investigator to measure light at depths below the Secchi disk depth to supplement the transparency information.

Light measurements collected in Lovell Lake on July 25, 1990 indicate light penetrates to 9.9 meters at site 1 North and 8.4 meters at site 2 South. That is to say, plants have enough light to grow on the majority of the lake bottom.

Indicator Bacteria *

Coliform bacteria in water indicate the possibility of fecal contamination. Although they are usually considered harmless to humans, they are much easier to test for than harmful pathogenic enteric bacteria (Salmonella, Shigella etc.) and viruses that may be present in fecal material. Total coliform includes all coliform bacteria which arise from the gut of animals or from vegetative materials. Fecal coliform are those specific organisms that inhabit the gut of warm blooded animals. Another indicator organism Fecal streptococcus (sometimes referred to as enterococcus) also can be monitored. The ratio of fecal coliform to fecal strep may be useful in suggesting the type of animal source responsible for the contamination. Desirable levels for a Class A water body is less than 50 total coliform
organisms per 100 milliliters. If the coliform level rises above 150 organisms per 100ml swimming should be prohibited.

Ducks and geese are often a common cause of high concentrations of coliform at specific lake sites. While waterfowl are important components to the natural and aesthetic qualities of lakes that we all enjoy, it is poor management practice to encourage these birds by feeding them. The lake and surrounding area provides enough healthy and natural food for the birds and feeding them stale bread or crackers does nothing more than import additional nutrients into the lake and allows for increased plant growth. As birds also are a host to the parasite that causes "swimmers itch" waterfowl roosting areas offer a greater chance for infestation to occur. Thus while leaving offerings for our feathered friends is enticing, the results can prove to be detrimental to the lake system and to human health.

Bacteriological analysis was done on Lovell Lake at the Beach and deep water sites. Less than 1 fecal coliform organism per 100ml was found to occur at all sites.

**Phytoplankton**

The planktonic community includes microbial organisms that represent diverse life forms, containing photosynthetic as well as non-photosynthetic types, and including bacteria, algae, crustaceans and insect larvae (the zooplankton are discussed below in a separate section). Because planktonic algae or "phytoplankton" tend to undergo rapid seasonal cycles on a time scale of days and weeks, the levels of populations found should be considered to be most representative of the time of collection and not necessarily of other times during the ice-free season, especially the early spring and late fall periods.

The composition and concentration of phytoplankton can be indicative of the trophic status of a lake. Seasonal patterns do occur and must be considered. For example diatoms, tend to be most abundant in April-June and October-November, in the surface or epilimnetic layers of New Hampshire lakes. As the summer progresses, the dominant types
might shift to green algae or golden algae. By late season Blue-green bacteria generally dominate. In nutrient rich lakes, nuisance green algae and/or bluegreen bacteria might dominate continually. After fall mixing diatoms might again be found to bloom.

Lovell Lake phytoplankton, from integrated samples in July, were low in number and showed a high diversity which is generally indicative of healthy lake conditions. The green algae Gloecystis and golden algae Dinobryon, were codominants in the surface waters of the south site while the latter algae was dominant in the surface waters of the north site. A mid lake phytoplankton sample collected at the north site reached moderate levels with an increase in the density of the blue green bacteria, Merismopedia. Although blue green bacteria often form algal blooms in the summer, the density of Merismopedia remained well below nuisance levels on the July sampling date.

Zooplankton *

There are three groups of zooplankton that are generally prevalent in lakes: the protozoa, rotifers and crustaceans. Most research has been devoted to the last two groups although protozoa may be found in substantial amounts. Of the rotifers and the crustaceans, time and budgetary constraints usually make it necessary to sample only the larger zooplankton (macrozooplankton; larger than 80 or 150 microns; 1 million microns make up a meter). Thus, zooplankton analysis is generally restricted only to the larger crustaceans. Crustacean zooplankton are very sensitive to pollutants and are commonly used to indicate the presence of toxic substances in water. The crustaceans can be divided into two groups, the cladocerans (which include the "water fleas") and the copepods.

Macrozooplankton are an important component in the lake system. The filter feeding of the herbivorous ("grazing") species may control the population size of selected species of phytoplankton. The larger zooplankton can be an important food source for ju-
venile and adult planktivorous fish. All zooplankton play a part in the recycling of nutrients within the lake.

As discussed above for phytoplankton, zooplankton undergo seasonal population cycles and the results discussed below are most representative of the collection dates and not necessarily of other times during the ice-free season, especially during the early spring and late fall.

The zooplankton population in late July at Lovell Lake was low at the south site and low to moderate at the north site. Both deep sites were dominated by the herbivorous calanoid copepod, *Diaptomus*. The grazing of phytoplankton by *Diaptomus* can selectively reduce the populations of certain algae (See above).

**Fish Condition**

As with the plankton discussed above, the health of the fish species of a lake will be indicative of the overall water quality. Condition is determined by comparing the length of the fish to its weight. As would be expected, the heavier the fish for its length, the better its condition will be. By also examining a scale collected from the fish under a microscope, the approximate age and growth history can also be determined.

We encourage the Lovell monitors to add to our data base by participation in the volunteer fish condition program.
REFERENCES


FIGURES
Figure 3. - Seasonal trends for 1990 secchi disk depth (water transparency) for Lovell Lake, sites (A) 1 North and (B) 2 South. Dotted lines on the plot border the ranges common to oligotrophic, mesotrophic and eutrophic lakes.
Figure 4 - Lovell Lake 1990. Seasonal trends for chlorophyll $a$ concentration of lay monitor site 1 North (squares) and site 2 South (crosses). Chlorophyll $a$ concentrations in mg per m$^3$ of chlorophyll $a$. Dotted lines on the plots border the ranges common to oligotrophic, mesotrophic and eutrophic lakes.

Figure 5 - Lovell Lake 1990. Seasonal trends for dissolved color of lay monitor sites 1 North (squares) and 2 South (crosses). Color expressed as platinum-cobalt units (ptu).
**Figure 6** - Profiles of temperature (temp.) and dissolved oxygen (O2) on 25 July, 1990 at Lovell Lake Sites 1 North and 2 South. Units of measurement are as indicated. Oxygen and temperature were measured at one-half meter intervals. Note the decrease in oxygen at the lake bottom (see text).
Figure 7 - Pie diagrams of Phytoplankton Diversity at Lovell Lake by Algal Class for sites 1 North and 2 South, 25 July 1990.
LOVELL LAKE PHYTOPLANKTON DIVERSITY

SITE 1 NORTH
25 JULY 90 0-5.0m
GREENS 20%
BLUEGREENS 20%
DESMIDS 2%
DIATOMS 20%
CRYPTOMONADS 4%
GOLDEN ALGAE 34%

SITE 1 NORTH
25 JULY 90 5.5m
GREENS 19%
BLUEGREENS 21%
DESMIDS 2%
EUGLENOIDS 4%
DIATOMS 11%
CRYPTOMONADS 15%
GOLDEN ALGAE 28%

SITE 2 SOUTH
25 JULY 90 0-5.5m
GREENS 34%
DESMIDS 4%
BLUEGREENS 8%
DIATOMS 16%
CRYPTOMONADS 10%
GOLDEN ALGAE 27%

SITE 2 SOUTH
25 JULY 90 6.0m
EUGLENOIDS 6%
DESMIDS 6%
GREENS 16%
CRYPTOMONADS 33%
BLUEGREENS 4%
GOLDEN ALGAE 35%
**Figure 8** - Pie diagrams of zooplankton densities at sites 1 North and 2 South. Densities expressed as number of organisms per liter.
LOVELL SITE 1 NORTH
ZOOPLANKTON DATA
25 JULY 1990

C. COPEPODID 0.2
CYCLOPOID 0.47
DIAPTONUS 1.34
DIAPANOSOMA 0.08
D SCHOLDERI 0.13
CONOCHILUS 0.06
D. COPEPODID 0.26
NAPLII 1.81

LOVELL SITE 2 SOUTH
ZOOPLANKTON DATA
25 JULY 1990

DIAPANOSOMA 0.01
CONOCHILUS 0.63
DIAPRONUS 0.87
D SCHOLDERI 0.05
D. COPEPODID 0.09
CYCLOPOID 0.21
NAPLII 1.27
**Figure 9** - Comparison of 1990 Secchi Disk Transparency with 1989 data for sites 1 North and 2 South. The minimum, mean and maximum values measured for the lake site indicate the low, average and high transparencies for the 1989 and 1990 sampling seasons.
The higher number = clearer water
**Figure 10** - Comparison of 1990 Chlorophyll Concentration with 1989 data for sites 1 North and 2 South. The minimum, mean and maximum values measured for the lake site indicate the low, average and high algal levels for the 1989 and 1990 sampling seasons.
COMPARISON: 1990 TO HISTORICAL DATA
LOVELL LAKE CHLOROPHYLL CONCENTRATION

The higher number = more algae
Lovell Lake Data on file as of 03/15/1991

Lakes Lay Monitoring Program, U.N.H.

[Lay Monitor Data]

Lovell Lake, NH
-- subset of trophic indicators, all sites, 1990

1990 SUMMARY
Average transparency: 6.1 (1990: 38 values; 5.0 - 8.0 range)
Average chlorophyll: 2.9 (1990: 38 values; 0.7 - 15.6 range)
Average phosphorus: 3.2 (1990: 12 values; 1.1 - 7.5 range)
Average alk (gray): 10.6 (1990: 38 values; 9.6 - 12.1 range)
Average alk (pink): 11.7 (1990: 38 values; 10.6 - 14.7 range)
Average color, 440: 11.8 (1990: 35 values; 6.0 - 24.1 range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Transpare-</th>
<th>Chl a (ppb)</th>
<th>Total Phos (ppb)</th>
<th>Alk. (gray)</th>
<th>Alk. (pink)</th>
<th>Color Pt-Co</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ncy (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ph 5.1</td>
<td>ph 4.6</td>
<td>units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>05/27/90</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>06/03/90</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>06/10/90</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>06/17/90</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>06/24/90</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>07/01/90</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>07/08/90</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>07/15/90</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>07/22/90</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>07/29/90</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>08/05/90</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>08/12/90</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>08/19/90</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>08/28/90</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>09/02/90</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>09/09/90</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>09/16/90</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>09/23/90</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 North</td>
<td>09/30/90</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>05/27/90</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>06/03/90</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>06/10/90</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>06/17/90</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>06/24/90</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>07/01/90</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>07/08/90</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>07/15/90</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>07/22/90</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>07/29/90</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>08/05/90</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>08/12/90</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>08/19/90</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Transparency (m)</td>
<td>Chl a (ppb)</td>
<td>Total Phos (ppb)</td>
<td>Alk. (gray) ph 5.1</td>
<td>Alk. (pink) ph 4.6</td>
<td>Color Pt-Co units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>08/28/1990</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>09/02/1990</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>09/09/1990</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>09/16/1990</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>09/23/1990</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 South</td>
<td>09/30/1990</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid</td>
<td>05/27/1990</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid</td>
<td>07/15/1990</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid</td>
<td>09/23/1990</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach</td>
<td>05/27/1990</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach</td>
<td>07/15/1990</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach</td>
<td>09/23/1990</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<< End of 1990 listing, 44 records >>